

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,643	3 08/28/2003		Steven J. Bullied	EH-10592(02-648)	1822
34704	7590	11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN 900 CHAPE		OINTE, P.C.	LIN, KUANG Y		
SUITE 1201	LSIKEE	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				1725	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,643	BULLIED ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailit earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS I te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	November 2005.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b)□ objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	θ(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	` ''	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	vived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification for the claimed feature that the disk is formed separately from the process for making the blade.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear whether the statement of "separately formed disk" is referred to forming the disk in a separate process step or to forming the disk in a separate mold cavity. In claim 8, line 4, it is not clear what "such inspection" is referred to.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-3 and 5-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Blazek (4,702,298) or Blazek et al. (4,170,256 or 4,066,116) and further in view of Ford (2002/0005265).

Each of the prior art references substantially shows the invention as claimed except that they do not show to provide a single distribution manifold having a plurality of conduits (claim 1), a core for forming a interior surface of the blade (claim 3), the filling level of the molten metal (claim 5), the filter (claims 6 and 13), assembling patterns on top of a plate (claim 7), disassembling step (claim 11) and the composition of the alloy.

However, Ford shows to provide a single manifold having a plurality of conduits, wherein each mold cavity is connected to a respective a conduit. In view of the prior art teaching as a whole, it would have been obvious to provide the casting apparatus of the primary references with the molten metal dispensing design of Ford such that to reduce the number of pouring cups needed. Further, it is conventional to provide a core for forming a hollow air passage for a turbine blade and to use Ni or Co base superalloy for casting the same, to provide a filter in an investment mold for filtering inclusions, to obtain an optimal filling level through a routine experimentation, to provide a working

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

platform for assembling the patterns, to disassemble the mold assembly as soon as the solidification is complete.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725